UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK X	ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 11/01/2022
In re:	03-MD-10570 (GBD)(SN

ORDER

TERRORIST ATTACKS ON

SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

SARAH NETBURN, United States Magistrate Judge:

This document relates to:

Burnett, et al. v. Al Baraka Inv. & Dev. Corp., et al., No. 03-cv-09849

Plaintiffs in <u>Burnett</u>, et al. v. Al <u>Baraka Inv. & Dev. Corp.</u>, et al., No. 03-cv-09849 (the "<u>Burnett</u> Plaintiffs"), move to amend their complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15. ECF No. 8677¹. They also request certain additional considerations to facilitate amendment.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) permits a party to amend its complaint with the court's leave. Courts are directed to "freely give leave when justice so requires." <u>Id.</u> This decision is committed to the discretion of the court, <u>McCarthy v. Dun & Bradstreet Corp.</u>, 482 F.3d 184, 200 (2d Cir. 2007), but granting "leave to amend is the 'usual practice,'" <u>Bank v. Gohealth, LLC</u>, No. 21-cv-1287, 2022 WL 1132503, at *1 (2d Cir. Apr. 18, 2022) (quoting <u>Hayden v. Cnty. of Nassau</u>, 180 F.3d 42, 53 (2d Cir. 1999)). <u>See Foman v. Davis</u>, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962) (finding that leave to amend should be granted "[i]n the absence of any apparent or declared reason—such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of the amendment ").

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all ECF numbers refer to the main MDL docket, 03-md-01570.

These claims are not futile, will not unduly delay these proceedings or prejudice the Taliban, and

were not filed in bad faith or with a dilatory motive. The <u>Burnett</u> Plaintiffs' motion is therefore

GRANTED, and it is further ORDERED that:

• The underlying complaint in <u>Burnett</u>, No. 03-cv-09849, is amended to include the 529 parties identified in the Burnett Plaintiffs' exhibit at ECF No. 8679-1 as

parties in the action against the Taliban;

• These amendments supplement, but do not displace, the underlying operative

complaint in Burnett, No. 03-cv-09849;

• Prior rulings, orders, and judgments entered in this case remain in effect as to all

parties; and

• Further service on the Taliban is not required as a result of these amendments, and

prior service orders apply, including the Court's order on service by publication at

ECF Nos. 445, 488.

The Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to terminate the motion at ECF No. 8677

and the related motion at ECF No. 1046 in Burnett, No. 03-cv-09849.

SO ORDERED.

SARAH NETBURN

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED: November 1, 2022

New York, New York

2